arXiv:1510.00863v2 [math.AG] 12 Feb 2016

A RIEMANN-HURWITZ THEOREM FOR THE ALGEBRAIC EULER CHARACTERISTIC

ANDREW FIORI

Abstract. We prove an analogue of the Riemann-Hurwitz theorem for computing Euler characteristics of pullbacks of coherent sheaves through finite maps of smooth projective varieties in arbitrary dimensions, subject only to the condition that the irreducible components of the branch and ramification locus have simple normal crossings.

1. Introduction

Consider a finite map $\pi : X \to Y$ of degree μ. Let $B = \cup B_i$ be the branch locus and its irreducible decomposition. Let $R = \pi^{-1}(B) = \cup R_j$ be the ramification locus and the irreducible decomposition of its reduction. Note that we are taking here the potentially non-standard choice to include in R even those components of $\pi^{-1}(B)$ which are not ramified, this convention will be consistent throughout. The Riemann-Hurwitz formula for the topological Euler characteristic of curves can roughly be interpreted to say:

$$\chi(X) - \mu \cdot \chi(Y) = \sum_i r_i \chi(R_i).$$

For some integers r_i determined by local data. This formula can be generalized both to higher dimensional manifolds, but also to the algebraic Euler characteristic. However, in the higher dimensional algebraic setting, such a formula typically requires additional hypothesis on the ramification and/or branch locus such as:

- The ramification locus to be non-singular.
- The irreducible components of the branch locus do not intersect.
- The irreducible components of the ramification locus to be non-singular.
- The formula is cleanest if the irreducible components of the ramification locus have trivial self intersection.

  Note that the work of Izawa [Iza03] handles the case where this last condition is not true but requires the previous conditions.

We would like to be able to reduce these conditions to the requirement that the branch and ramification locus consist of divisors with simple normal crossings.

The result which we obtain is a formula of the form:

$$\chi(X) - \mu \cdot \chi(Y) = \sum_\alpha r_\alpha \chi(R^\alpha).$$

Where the R^α are irreducible components of the (possibly repeated) intersections, that is the strata, of the ramification locus. The r_α are constants defined in terms of the ramification structure along R^α in terms of universal equations determined by α.

Moreover, our argument works virtually identically in each of the following cases:

- $\chi(X)$ is the topological Euler characteristic, in this case the above results are then classical and follow from excision.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14F05, Secondary 14C17.

Key words and phrases. Riemann-Hurwitz, Logarithmic-Chern Classes, Euler Characteristic.

This work was done while the author was a postdoctoral researcher at Queen's University.

- $\chi(X) = \chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ is the algebraic Euler characteristic.
  The result is well known when the map is étale (see [Ful98, Ex. 18.3.9]). The case of no intersection between components of branch/ramification locus is handled for example in the work of Izawa [Iza03].
- $\chi(X) = \chi(X, \mathcal{F})$ is the Euler characteristic of the sheaf $\mathcal{F}$.
- The same argument should apply formally to any 'characteristic' defined by a multiplicative sequence on the Chern classes. The formulas for the coefficients r_α do naturally depend on this choice of characteristic.

We shall only present the argument for the case of $\chi(X, \mathcal{F})$.

The strategy of proof uses primarily formal properties of logarithmic Chern classes and formal properties of multiplicative sequences.

The paper is organized as follows:

- In Section 2 we introduce our notation and the key results we shall make use of.
- In Section 3 we introduce our definition of logarithmic Euler characteristic.
- In Section 4 contains the key calculations, which compares the classical Euler characteristic to the logarithmic Euler characteristic.
- In Section 5 applies the results of Section 4 to the problem of giving the Riemann-Hurwitz theorem discussed above.
- In Section 6 we discuss computing the contribution to the logarithmic Euler characteristics of the 'self-intersection' terms.

We should mention that our original motivation for considering the objects being introduced is to compute dimension formulas for spaces of modular forms. For this application it is actually the results of Section 4 and Section 6 that by way of the work of Mumford in [Mum77] play a significant role. Though actual dimension formulas require additional arithmetic and/or combinatorial input the results of the aforementioned sections can be seen as a generalization of a key ingredient for the approach used in [Tsu80].

2. Background and Notation

Notation 2.1. We shall make use of the following notation.

- X and Y shall always be varieties, typically assumed to be smooth and projective.
- Given a variety X we shall denote by
$$\Omega^1_X$$
the cotangent bundle of X.
- $\Delta = \cup_i \{D_i\}$ shall always be a collection of (reduced irreducible) divisors on a variety. These shall typically be assumed to have simple normal crossings.
- Given $\Delta = \cup_i \{D_i\}$ a collection of divisors on X we shall denote by:
$$\Omega^1_X(\log \Delta)$$
The logarithmic cotangeant bundle of X relative to Δ,
- For any $Y \subset X$ we shall denote by:
$$\Omega^1_Y(\log \Delta')$$
the logarithmic cotangeant bundle of Y, relative to $\Delta' = \cup_i \{D_i \cap Y\}$, where we consider only those i such that $Y \not\subset D_i$. When we write this we shall always assume that Y meets the relevant D_i transversely. Whenever we write Δ', the relevant Y shall be understood.
- Given any coherent sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on X we shall denote by:
$$\mathrm{ch}(\mathcal{F}) = \sum_i \mathrm{ch}_i(\mathcal{F})$$
the total Chern class and the ith Chern class.

- Given $\Delta = \cup_i \{D_i\}$ a collection of divisors on X we shall denote by:

$$\Delta_k$$

the kth elementary symmetric polynomial in the D_i. so that:

$$\prod_i (1 - D_i) = \sum_k (-1)^k \Delta_k.$$

The products above take place in either the cohomology ring or the Chow ring as appropriate from context.

- When we say that α is a partition of m we mean that $m = \sum_i \alpha_i i$. Given a partition α, we shall denote by $|\alpha|$ the value m it is partitioning. That is $|\alpha| = \sum_i \alpha_i i$. Moreover, given such a partition we shall denote by:

$$\mathrm{ch}^\alpha(\mathcal{F}) = \prod_i \mathrm{ch}_i(\mathcal{F})^{\alpha_i}$$

and by:

$$\Delta^\alpha = \prod_i \Delta_i^{\alpha_i}.$$

- Given any monomial exponent $\underline{b} = (b_1, \ldots, b_\ell) \in \mathbb{N}^\ell$ of total degree $|\underline{b}| = \sum b_i$ we shall denote by:

$$D^{\underline{b}} = \prod D_i^{b_i}.$$

The products above take place in either the cohomology ring or the Chow ring as appropriate from context. Whenever we write this, the choice of base D will make clear the relevant Δ to which D_i belong. Do not confuse D^ℓ with $D^{\underline{b}}$, the former will always be the self intersection of a particular divisor $D \in \Delta$.

Theorem 2.2 (Riemann-Roch Theorem)**.** *For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a universal polynomial*

$$Q_n(x_1, \ldots, x_n; y_1, \ldots, y_n),$$

such that for all smooth projective varieties X of dimension n and coherent sheaves $\mathcal{F}$ on X the Euler characteristic of $\mathcal{F}$ is:

$$\chi(X, \mathcal{F}) = Q_n(\mathrm{ch}_1(\mathcal{F}), \ldots, \mathrm{ch}_r(\mathcal{F}); \mathrm{ch}_1(\Omega^1_X), \ldots, \mathrm{ch}_n(\Omega^1_X)).$$

The polynomial is given explicitly by:

$$Q_n(\mathrm{ch}_1(\mathcal{F}), \ldots, \mathrm{ch}_r(\mathcal{F}); \mathrm{ch}_1(\Omega^1_X), \ldots, \mathrm{ch}_n(\Omega^1_X)) = \deg_n(\mathrm{Ch}^*(\mathcal{F})\mathrm{Todd}(\Omega^1_X))$$

where Ch *and* Todd *are respectively the Chern character and Todd class, which are defined in terms of universal polynomials in the Chern classes.*

Remark 2.3. The most important feature of the explicit description we shall make use of is that

$$\mathrm{Todd}(\mathcal{E}_1 \oplus \mathcal{E}_2) = \mathrm{Todd}(\mathcal{E}_1)\mathrm{Todd}(\mathcal{E}_2)$$

so that Q_n is effectively multiplicative in the $\mathrm{ch}_i(\Omega^1_X)$ set of parameters.

The following proposition makes precise what we mean by multiplicative.

Proposition 2.4. *For notational convenience in the following we introduce the constants $u_0 = v_0 = 1$ and $u_i = v_i = 0$ for $i < 0$. Consider formal variables $u_1, \ldots, u_n$ and $v_1, \ldots, v_n$ and set $y_i = \sum_{j+k=i} u_j v_k$ then*

$$Q_n(x_1, \ldots, x_n; y_1, \ldots, y_n) = \sum_{\ell+m=n} Q_\ell(x_1, \ldots, x_\ell; u_1, \ldots, u_\ell) Q_m(0, \ldots, 0; v_1, \ldots, v_m).$$

Proof. Denote by $\mathrm{Ch}^*(\underline{x})$, $\mathrm{Todd}(\underline{y})$, $\mathrm{Todd}(\underline{u})$, $\mathrm{Todd}(\underline{v})$ the universal expression for the Chern character or Todd class where we substitute the appropriate set of variables for the chern classes. We then have that:

$$\begin{aligned} Q_n(x_1,\dots,x_n;y_1,\dots,y_n) &= \deg_n(\mathrm{Ch}^*(\underline{x})\mathrm{Todd}(\underline{y})) \\ &= \deg_n(\mathrm{Ch}^*(\underline{x})\mathrm{Todd}(\underline{u})\mathrm{Todd}(\underline{v})) \\ &= \sum_{\ell+m=n} \deg_\ell(\mathrm{Ch}^*(\underline{x})\mathrm{Todd}(\underline{u}))\deg_m(\mathrm{Todd}(\underline{v})) \\ &= \sum_{\ell+m=n} Q_\ell(x_1,\dots,x_\ell;u_1,\dots,u_\ell)Q_m(0,\dots,0;v_1,\dots,v_m). \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 2.5. The same formula holds if we use instead the system of polynomials

$$Q_n(x_1,\dots,x_n;y_1,\dots,y_n) = y_n$$

which give the topological Euler characteristic. The algebraic Euler characteristic of X is just the special case of $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}_X$.

Notation 2.6. We shall also need the following combinatorial quantities. Note that these are all essentially universal depending only on the choice of multiplicative sequence Q. These constants can all be effectively computed.

- Given any partition α we shall denote by:

  $$t_\alpha$$

  the coefficient of $\mathrm{ch}^\alpha(\Omega^1_{\overline{X}})$ in $Q_{|\alpha|}(0,\dots,0;\mathrm{ch}_1(\Omega^1_X),\dots,\mathrm{ch}_n(\Omega^1_X))$. For the case on which we are focusing this is equivalently the coefficient of $\mathrm{ch}^\alpha(\Omega^1_{\overline{X}})$ in the Todd class.
- Given any partition α and any monomial exponent $\underline{b}$ we shall denote by:

  $$m_{\alpha,\underline{b}}$$

  the coefficient of $x_1^{b_1}x_2^{b_2}\cdots x_\ell^{b_\ell}$ in $e_1^{\alpha_1}e_2^{\alpha_2}\cdots e_m^{\alpha_m}$ where the e_j are the elementary symmetric polynomials in the x_i.

  It follows immediately that we have:

  $$\Delta^\alpha = \sum_{\underline{b}} m_{\alpha,\underline{b}} D^{\underline{b}}.$$

  Note that these coefficients depend only on the monomial type of $\underline{b}$, that is the multi-set $\{b_i \neq 0\}$.
- Given any monomial exponent $\underline{b}$ we shall denote:

  $$c_{\underline{b}} = \sum_\alpha m_{\alpha,\underline{b}} t_\alpha.$$

  This is also precisely the coefficient of $D^{\underline{b}}$ in $Q_{|b|}(0,\dots,0;\Delta_1,\dots,\Delta_{|\underline{b}|})$.
- We may think of the monomial exponents $\underline{b}$ as vectors indexed by the elements D of Δ. As such, given two monomial exponents $\underline{b}$ and $\underline{b}'$ we shall denote $\underline{b} < \underline{b}'$ if the inequality holds component wise so that we may write:

  $$D^{\underline{b}}D^{\underline{b}''} = D^{\underline{b}'}$$

  for some $\underline{b}''$ with all components $b_i'' \geq 0$.

  Moreover, given a collection of monomial exponents $\underline{b}_j$ we shall write:

  $$\sum_j \underline{b}_j = \underline{b}$$

if this is true as a vector sum. Finally, we shall denote by:

$$d_{\underline{b}} = \sum_{\sum_j \underline{b}_j = \underline{b}} \prod_j c_{\underline{b}_j}.$$

Note if we consider the directed graph, directed by the partial order above, of monomial exponents $\underline{b}$ and assign the edge $(\underline{b}', \underline{b})$ to have weight $\max(0, c_{\underline{b}-\underline{b}'})$, then $d_{\underline{b}}$ is the total weight of all paths from the trivial monomial exponent $(0, \ldots, 0)$, to $\underline{b}$.

- Given a monomial exponent $\underline{b}$ we shall say it is multiplicity free, abbreviated MF, if $b_i \leq 1$ for all i, otherwise, we shall say it is not multiplicity free, abbreviated NMF.

  Note: A monomial exponent is MF precisely when computing $D^{\underline{b}}$ involves no self intersections.

  Given a monomial exponent $\underline{b}$ denote by $\tilde{\underline{b}}$ the monomial exponent such that $\tilde{b}_i = \min(1, b_i)$, so that $\tilde{\underline{b}}$ captures the support of $\underline{b}$ but has no self intersection. Denote by:

$$\tilde{d}_{\underline{b}} = \sum_{\sum_j \underline{b}_j < \tilde{\underline{b}}} (c_{\underline{b} - \sum_j \underline{b}_j}) \prod_j c_{\underline{b}_j}.$$

  and by:

$$\tilde{d}_{\underline{b},\underline{b}'} = \sum_{\underline{b} + \sum_j \underline{b}_j < \tilde{\underline{b}}'} (c_{\underline{b}' - \sum_j \underline{b}_j}) \prod_j c_{\underline{b}_j}.$$

  Note that if $\underline{b}'$ is MF then $\tilde{d}_{\underline{b},\underline{b}'} = \tilde{d}_{\underline{b}'-\underline{b}} = d_{\underline{b}'-\underline{b}}$. If $\underline{b}$ is NMF then $\tilde{d}_{\underline{b},\underline{b}'} = 0$.

  The coefficient $\tilde{d}_{\underline{b},\underline{b}'}$ counts the number of paths from $\underline{b}$ to $\underline{b}'$ in the weighted graph such that the only potentially NMF node is $\underline{b}'$. The coefficient $\tilde{d}_{\underline{b}'}$ counts the number of such paths from $(0, \ldots, 0)$.

Proposition 2.7. *Let X be a smooth projective variety and $\Delta = \cup\{D_i\}$ be a collection of smooth divisors with simple normal crossings on X. We have a relation:*

$$\mathrm{ch}_i(\Omega^1_X) = \sum_j (-1)^{i-j} \mathrm{ch}_j(\Omega^1_X(\log \Delta)) \Delta_{i-j}$$

Recall Δ_k is the k-th elementary symmetric polynomial in the irreducible components of the boundary of X. This can also be expressed as:

$$\mathrm{ch}(\Omega^1_X) = \mathrm{ch}(\Omega^1_X(\log)) \prod_{D_i} (1 - D_i).$$

Proof. We follow essentially an argument for an analogous result from [Tsu80, Prop. 1.2]. We have the following two exact sequences:

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega^1_X \longrightarrow \Omega^1_X(\log \Delta) \longrightarrow \oplus \mathcal{O}_{D_i} \longrightarrow 0$$

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(-D_i) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{D_i} \longrightarrow 0.$$

The first of which essentially defines $\Omega^1_X(\log \Delta)$.

By the multiplicativity of the total Chern class we obtain:

$$\mathrm{ch}(\Omega^1_X) = \mathrm{ch}(\Omega^1_X(\log \Delta)) \prod_{D_i} (1 - D_i).$$

□

Proposition 2.8. *Logarithmic Chern classes restrict to the boundary. That is, let X be a smooth projective variety and $\Delta = \cup\{D_i\}$ be a collection of smooth divisors with simple normal crossings on X. Suppose $D \in \Delta$ is a fixed irreducible divisor then:*

$$\mathrm{ch}^{\alpha}(\Omega^1_X(\log \Delta)) \cdot D = \mathrm{ch}^{\alpha}(\Omega^1_D(\log \Delta')).$$

Proof. We follow essentially an argument for an analogous result from [Tsu80, Lem. 5.1].

Recall the notation $\Delta' = \{D' \cap D \mid D' \in \Delta \setminus \{D\}\}$.

We have the following exact sequences:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(-D) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_D \longrightarrow 0$$

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_D(-D) \longrightarrow \Omega^1_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_D \longrightarrow \Omega^1_D \longrightarrow 0$$

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega^1_X \longrightarrow \Omega^1_X(\log \Delta) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{D_i \subset \Delta} \mathcal{O}_{D_i} \longrightarrow 0$$

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega^1_D \longrightarrow \Omega^1_D(\log \Delta') \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{D'_i \subset \Delta'} \mathcal{O}_{D'_i} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Tensoring the third sequence by $\mathcal{O}_D$ we obtain :

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathcal{O}_X}\left(\bigoplus_{D_i \subset \Delta} \mathcal{O}_{D_i}, \mathcal{O}_D\right) \longrightarrow \Omega^1_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_D \longrightarrow \cdots$$

$$\cdots \longrightarrow \Omega^1_X(\log \Delta) \otimes \mathcal{O}_D \longrightarrow \left(\bigoplus_{D_i \subset \Delta} \mathcal{O}_{D_i}\right) \otimes \mathcal{O}_D \longrightarrow 0$$

One separately computes by tensoring the first sequence by $\mathcal{O}_{D_i}$ for various i that

$$\mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathcal{O}_X}\left(\bigoplus_{D_i \subset \Delta} \mathcal{O}_{D_i}, \mathcal{O}_D\right) = \mathcal{O}_D(-D)$$

and

$$\bigoplus_{D_i \subset \Delta} (\mathcal{O}_{D_i} \otimes \mathcal{O}_D) = \left(\bigoplus_{D'_i \subset \Delta'} \mathcal{O}_{D'_i}\right) \oplus \mathcal{O}_D.$$

Now, by the multiplicativity of the total Chern class in exact sequences, we can conclude that:

$$\mathrm{ch}(\Omega^1_X(\log \Delta) \otimes \mathcal{O}_D) = \mathrm{ch}(\Omega^1_D(\log \Delta'))$$

from which the result follows. □

Notation 2.9. Consider $\pi : X \to Y$ a ramified covering. For $Z \subset X$ irreducible we define:

$$e_Z = \mathrm{length}(\mathcal{O}_{X,Z} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \mathcal{O}_{Y,\pi(Z)}/J_{\pi(Z)})$$

to be the ramification degree of π at Z. In the expression above, $J_{\pi(Z)}$ is the ideal associated to $\pi(Z)$ and the length is that of the ring as a module over itself.

The following proposition is well known (see for example [Ful98, Ex. 4.3.7]), though we will not make direct use of it the statement motivates our understanding of ramification.

Proposition 2.10. *Let X and Y be smooth projective varieties. Consider $\pi : X \to Y$ a potentially ramified finite covering of degree μ. For any $Z' \subset Y$ irreducible, if we decompose $\pi^{-1}(Z') = \cup_i Z_i$ into irreducible components then:*

$$\sum_i \mu_{Z_i} e_{Z_i} = \mu.$$

where μ_{Z_i} is the degree of $\pi|_{Z_i}$.

Notation 2.11. Fix a ramified covering $\pi : X \to Y$ of smooth projective varieties of dimension n.

The collection of reduced irreducible components of the branch locus shall be denoted $\Delta(B)$, and we shall denote monomial exponents for the branch locus by $\underline{b}$ and write $B^{\underline{b}}$ for the associated cycle.

The collection of reduced irreducible components of the ramification locus shall be denoted $\Delta(R)$, and we shall denote monomial exponents for the ramification locus by $\underline{a}$ and write $R^{\underline{a}}$ for the associated cycle. Recall that $\Delta(R) = \pi^{-1}(\Delta(B))$ includes all components R_j in $\pi^{-1}(B_i)$ even those which may not themselves be ramified.

For an irreducible component R_i then it is clear $\pi(R_i) = B_j$ for a unique j. Given a pair of monomial exponents $\underline{a}$ and $\underline{b}$ we shall say $\pi(\underline{a}) = \underline{b}$ if for each j we have:

$$b_j = \sum_{\pi(R_i)=B_j} a_i.$$

We shall denote by:

$$E_{R^{\underline{a}}} = \prod_i (e_{R_i})^{a_i}$$

the product of the ramification degrees. This notation is justified by Lemma 2.13 which says that $E_{R^{\underline{a}}} = e_{R^{\underline{a}}}$ provided $\underline{a}$ is multiplicity free.

Lemma 2.12. *Consider $\pi : X \to Y$ a potentially ramified finite map between smooth projective varieties. Suppose D_1 and D_2 are two (reduced irreducible) divisors on X with simple normal crossings and that $\pi(D_1) = \pi(D_2) = D$ is smooth. Let Z be a (reduced) irreducible component of $D_1 \cap D_2$. Then there is a component R of the ramification locus of π such that $Z \subset R$ and $Z \notin \{D_1, D_2\}$. In particular the collection $\{D_1, D_2, R\}$ does not have simple normal crossings.*

Proof. We will consider the completed local rings at Z and $\pi(Z)$. These are of the form:

$$K(Z)[[s_1, s_2]] \quad \text{and} \quad K(\pi(Z))[[t_1, t_2]]$$

where s_i is the local coordinate defining D_i on X near Z, the coordinate t_1 defines D on Y near $\pi(Z)$ and t_2 is any other local coordinate defining a divisor which meets D transversely at $\pi(Z)$.

By the assumption that $\pi(D_1) = \pi(D_2) = D$ we have that we can choose our coordinates s_1 and s_2 so that $\pi^*(t_1) = u s_1^{a_1} s_2^{a_2}$ with $a_1, a_2 \geq 1$ and $u \in K(Z)^\times$. By the assumption that the map is finite we have that $s_1, s_2 \not| \pi^*(t_2)$, moreover $\pi(t_2)$ vanishes at Z and thus $\pi(t_2)$ has trivial constant term. It follows that

$$\pi^*(t_2) = v_1 s_1^{b_1} + v_2 s_2^{b_2} + (\text{other terms (not including those monomials)})$$

with $b_1, b_2 \geq 1$ and $v_1, v_2 \in K(Z)^\times$.

We can understand the ramification locus near Z by way of the Jacobian condition. The Jacobian is precisely:

$$a_1 u s_1^{a_1-1} s_2^{a_2} \left(b_2 v_2 s_2^{b_2-1} + \frac{\partial(\text{other terms})}{\partial s_2} \right) + a_2 u s_1^{a_1} s_2^{a_2-1} \left(b_1 v_1 s_1^{b_1-1} + \frac{\partial(\text{other terms})}{\partial s_1} \right).$$

As the expressions $s_2 \frac{\partial(s_1^{\ell_1} s_2^{\ell_2})}{\partial s_2}$ and $s_1 \frac{\partial(s_1^{\ell_1} s_2^{\ell_2})}{\partial s_1}$ both have the same monomial type, namely $s_1^{\ell_1} s_2^{\ell_2}$, as the starting monomial we find that we may rewrite the Jacobian above as:

$$u s_1^{a_1-1} s_2^{a_2-1} (a_2 b_1 v_1 s_1^{b_1} + a_1 b_2 v_2 s_2^{b_2} + (\text{other terms (not including those monomials)})).$$

The term $(a_2b_1v_1s_1^{b_1} + a_1b_2v_2s_2^{b_2} + (\text{other terms}))$ vanishes at Z and is not divisible by s_1 or s_2 and thus defines at least one component of the ramification locus that pass through Z which is not equal to D_1 or D_2. □

Lemma 2.13. *Consider $\pi : X \to Y$ a potentially ramified finite map between smooth projective varieties. Let $\Delta(B)$ be the collection of irreducible components of the branch locus (on Y) and $\Delta(R) = \pi^{-1}(\Delta(B))$ be the collection of (reduced) irreducible components of the ramification locus (on X). Suppose $\Delta(B)$ and $\Delta(R)$ have simple normal crossings. If $R_1, \dots R_\ell \in \Delta(R)$ are distinct and if Z is a (reduced) irreducible component of $\cap_i R_i$ then:*

$$e_Z = \prod_i e_{R_i}.$$

Proof. We will consider the completed local ring at Z and $\pi(Z)$. The completed local rings at the generic points are of the form:

$$K(Z)[[s_1, \dots, s_\ell]] \quad \text{and} \quad K(\pi(Z))[[t_1, \dots, t_\ell]]$$

where s_i is a local parameter defining R_i, and t_i a local parameter defining $B_i = \pi(R_i)$. That $\pi(R_i)$ are all distinct follows from Lemma 2.12. It follows from this setup that we may choose the local coordinate s_i so that $\pi^*(t_i) = u_i s_i^{a_i}$ with $a_i \geq 1$ and $u_i \in K(Z)^\times$. The claim now follows from a direct computations of lengths. In particular $e_{R_i} = a_i$ and $e_Z = \prod_i a_i$. □

Lemma 2.14. *Consider $\pi : X \to Y$ a potentially ramified finite map between smooth projective varieties. Let $\Delta(B)$ be the collection of irreducible components of the branch locus (on Y) and $\Delta(R) = \pi^{-1}(\Delta(B))$ be the collection of (reduced) irreducible components of the ramification locus (on X). Suppose $\Delta(B)$ and $\Delta(R)$ have simple normal crossings.*

1. *If $\pi(\underline{a}) = \underline{b}$, and the monomial type of $\underline{a}$ and $\underline{b}$ are not the same then:*
$$R^{\underline{a}} = 0.$$
2. *If $\pi(\underline{a}) = \underline{b}$, and the monomial type of $\underline{a}$ and $\underline{b}$ are the same then in the formal expansion:*
$$\pi^*(B^{\underline{b}}) = \prod_i \pi^*(B_i)^{b_i} = \prod_i \left(\sum_{\pi(R_j) = B_i} e_{R_j} R_j \right)^{b_i} = \sum_{\pi(\underline{a}) = \underline{b}} x_{\underline{a}} R^{\underline{a}}$$
the coefficient $x_{\underline{a}}$ of $R^{\underline{b}}$ is $E_{R^{\underline{a}}}$.
3. *We have the following identity in the Chow ring:*
$$\pi^*(B^{\underline{b}}) = \sum_{\pi(\underline{a}) = \underline{b}} E_{R^{\underline{a}}} R^{\underline{a}}.$$

Proof. The first statement follows immediately from Lemma 2.12, in particular if the monomial exponents are not the same then the expression $R^{\underline{a}}$ involves intersecting two components which map to the same B_i. If these two components do not have trivial intersection than the ramification locus does not have simple normal crossings.

The second statement is a straightforward check and indeed is a basic property of multinomial coefficients.

The third statement then combines the previous two by observing that $R^{\underline{a}} = 0$ whenever the coefficient of $R^{\underline{a}}$ is not $E_{R^{\underline{a}}}$. □

Theorem 2.15. *Logarithmic Chern classes respect pullbacks through ramified covers. That is, let X and Y be smooth projective varieties of dimension n. Consider $\pi : X \to Y$ a potentially ramified finite covering. Let $\Delta(B)$ be the collection of irreducible components of the branch locus (on Y) and $\Delta(R) = \pi^{-1}(\Delta(B))$ be the collection of (reduced) irreducible components of the ramification locus (on X). Suppose that $\Delta(R)$ and $\Delta(B)$ consist of simple normal crossing divisors. Then:*

$$\pi^*(\Omega_Y(\log \Delta(B)) = \Omega_X(\log \Delta(R))).$$

Recall that $\Delta(R)$ includes even those irreducible components of $\pi^{-1}(B)$ which are not themselves ramified.

Proof. The claim can be checked locally on Y.

Suppose $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ are a local system of coordinates at some point $\underline{x}$ of X, and $y_1, \ldots, y_n$ are a local system of coordinates near $\underline{y} = \pi(\underline{x})$. We may suppose that $y_1, \ldots, y_\ell$ define the branch locus of π near $\underline{y}$ and further that $\pi^*(y_i) = x_i^{a_i}$ so that $x_1, \ldots, x_\ell$ define the ramification locus of π near $\underline{x}$ (see proof of Lemma 2.13). Set $\epsilon_i = 1$ if $i \leq \ell$ and 0 otherwise. Then the bundle $\Omega_Y(\log \Delta(R))$ has a basis of sections near $\underline{y}$ given by:

$$\frac{dy_1}{y_1^{\epsilon_1}}, \ldots, \frac{dy_n}{y_n^{\epsilon_n}}.$$

By the choice of ϵ_i we find that for all i:

$$\frac{d(\pi^* y)}{(\pi^* y)^{\epsilon_i}} = \frac{d(x_i^{a_i})}{x_i^{a_i \epsilon_i}} = a_i \frac{dx_i}{x_i^{\epsilon_i}}$$

we find that $\pi^*(\Omega_Y(\log \Delta(R)))$ has a basis of sections near $\underline{x}$:

$$\frac{dx_1}{x_1^{\epsilon_1}}, \ldots, \frac{dx_n}{x_n^{\epsilon_n}}.$$

This precisely agrees with the bundle $\Omega_X(\log \Delta(B))$ near $\underline{x}$. □

3. Logarithmic Euler Characteristic

Aside from its present application to a Riemann-Hurwitz formula, the following definition is motivated in part by its appearance in Mumford's work in [Mum77, Cor. 3.5].

Definition 3.1. We define the logarithmic Euler characteristic of a sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on a variety X with respect to a boundary Δ to be:

$$\chi(X, \Delta, \mathcal{F}) = Q_n(\mathrm{ch}_1(\mathcal{F}), \ldots, \mathrm{ch}_r(\mathcal{F}); \mathrm{ch}_1(\Omega^1_X(\log \Delta)), \ldots, \mathrm{ch}_n(\Omega^1_X(\log \Delta))).$$

Though it is not a priori clear what use this definition can have, the following theorem shows that it in some sense behaves better than the standard Euler characteristic.

Theorem 3.2. *Let X and Y be smooth projective varieties. Consider $\pi : X \to Y$ a potentially ramified finite covering of degree μ. Let $\Delta(B)$ be the collection of irreducible components of the branch locus (on Y) and $\Delta(R) = \pi^{-1}(\Delta(B))$ be the collection of (reduced) irreducible components of the ramification locus (on X). Suppose $\Delta(B)$ and $\Delta(R)$ have simple normal crossings.*

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be any coherent sheaf on Y then:

$$\chi(X, \Delta(R), \pi^*(\mathcal{F})) = \mu \cdot \chi(Y, \Delta(B), \mathcal{F}).$$

Proof. By Theorem 2.15 (and functoriality) we have that:

$$\pi^*(\mathrm{Ch}^*(\mathcal{F})\mathrm{Todd}(\Omega^1_Y(\log \Delta(B)))) = \mathrm{Ch}^*(\pi^*(\mathcal{F}))\mathrm{Todd}(\Omega^1_X(\log \Delta(R))).$$

The result then follows by recalling that the effect of pullback on the degree of a class is to multiply by μ. □

4. Logarithmic Euler Characteristic vs The Euler Characteristic

The key to obtaining our results is the following comparison between the usual Euler characteristic and the logarithmic Euler characteristic we have just defined.

Theorem 4.1. *Let X be a smooth projective variety and let $\mathcal{F}$ be any coherent sheaf on X. Suppose Δ is a collection of smooth divisors with simple normal crossings on X. Then*

$$\chi(X, \mathcal{F}) - \chi(X, \Delta, \mathcal{F}) = \sum_{|\underline{b}| \geq 1} (-1)^{|\underline{b}|} c_{\underline{b}} D^{\underline{b}} Q_{n-|\underline{b}|}(\mathrm{ch}_j(\mathcal{F}); \mathrm{ch}_i(\Omega^1_X(\log(\Delta)))).$$

Proof. Recall that by Proposition 2.4 we have:

$$Q_n(x_1,\dots,x_n;y_1,\dots,y_n)=\sum_{\ell+m=n}Q_\ell(x_1,\dots,x_\ell;u_1,\dots,u_\ell)Q_m(0,\dots,0;v_1,\dots,v_m).$$

In this context if we set $x_i=\mathrm{ch}_i(\mathcal{F})$, $u_i=\mathrm{ch}_i(\Omega^1_X(\log\Delta))$, and $v_i=(-1)^i\Delta_i$ then by Proposition 2.7 we have in the setting of Proposition 2.4 that $y_i=\mathrm{ch}_i(\Omega^1_X)$ and it follows that $Q_n(\mathrm{ch}_j(\mathcal{F});\mathrm{ch}_i(\Omega^1_X))$ is equal to:

$$\sum_{\ell+m=n}Q_\ell(\mathrm{ch}_j(\mathcal{F});\mathrm{ch}_i(\Omega^1_X(\log\Delta)))Q_m(0,\dots,0;(-1)^1\Delta_1,(-1)^2\Delta_2,\dots,(-1)^m\Delta_m).$$

The result then follows from the observation that:

$$Q_m(0,\dots,0;(-1)^1\Delta_1,(-1)^2\Delta_2,\dots,(-1)^m\Delta_m)=\sum_{|\underline{b}|=m}(-1)^{|\underline{b}|}c_{\underline{b}}D^{\underline{b}}.$$

□

Notation 4.2. If $\underline{a}$ is multiplicity free, so that $D^{\underline{a}}$ has no self intersections, then we may write

$$D^{\underline{a}}=\sum_j x_j[C_j]\quad\text{ where }\quad\left(\bigcap_{a_i\neq 0}D_i\right)_{red}=\bigcup_j C_j$$

in this setting we interpret $\chi(D^{\underline{a}},\Delta',\mathcal{F}|_{D^{\underline{a}}})$ to mean:

$$\chi(D^{\underline{a}},\Delta',\mathcal{F}|_{D^{\underline{a}}})=\sum_i x_i\chi(C_i,\Delta',\mathcal{F}|_{C_i})$$

the weighted sum of the logarithmic Euler characteristics of the irreducible components of $D^{\underline{a}}$, the weights being precisely the intersection multiplicities. We interpret $\chi(D^{\underline{a}},\mathcal{F}|_{D^{\underline{a}}})$ similarly. Note that in the context of simple normal crossings the intersection will already be reduced and the multiplicities, x_i, will all be 1.

By an abuse of notation we shall extend this to the case where there may be self intersections and denote by:

$$\chi(D^{\underline{a}},\Delta',\mathcal{F}|_{D^{\underline{a}}})=D^{\underline{a}}Q_{n-|\alpha|}(\mathrm{ch}_j(\mathcal{F});\mathrm{ch}_i(\Omega^1_X(\log(\Delta))))$$

even when a_i are potentially greater than 1. This definition is consistent with the above interpretation when there are no self intersections by Proposition 2.8.

Corollary 4.3. *In the notation of the theorem. If the irreducible components of Δ have trivial self intersection, then:*

$$\chi(X,\mathcal{F})-\chi(X,\Delta,\mathcal{F})=\sum_{|\underline{b}|\geq 1}(-1)^{|b|}d_{\underline{b}}\chi(D^{\underline{b}},\mathcal{F}|_{D^{\underline{b}}}).$$

Proof. In the above notation Theorem 4.1 gives us that:

$$\chi(X,\mathcal{F})-\chi(X,\Delta,\mathcal{F})=\sum_{|\underline{b}|\geq 1}(-1)^{|b|}c_{\underline{b}}\chi(D^{\underline{b}},\Delta',\mathcal{F}|_{D^{\underline{b}}}).$$

As the same result allows us to compute $\chi(D^{\underline{b}},\Delta',\mathcal{F}|_{D^{\underline{b}}})-\chi(D^{\underline{b}},\mathcal{F}|_{D^{\underline{b}}})$ a recursive process allows us to write:

$$\chi(X,\mathcal{F})-\chi(X,\Delta,\mathcal{F})=\sum_{|\underline{b}|\geq 1}e_{\underline{b}}\chi(D^{\underline{b}},\mathcal{F}|_{D^{\underline{b}}}).$$

We must only show that $e_{\underline{b}}=(-1)^{\underline{b}}d_{\underline{b}}$

The coefficient of $\chi(D^{\underline{b}},\mathcal{F}|_{D^{\underline{b}}})$ must be computed through the recursive process. We can apply the process iteratively and obtain a sequence of formulas:

$$\chi(X,\mathcal{F})-\chi(X,\Delta,\mathcal{F})=\sum_{1\leq|\underline{b}|<\ell}e_{\underline{b}}\chi(D^{\underline{b}},\mathcal{F}|_{D^{\underline{b}}})+\sum_{\ell\leq|\underline{b}|}f^{(\ell)}_{\underline{b}}\chi(D^{\underline{b}},\Delta',\mathcal{F}|_{D^{\underline{b}}}).$$

For some set of constants $f_{\underline{b}}^{(\ell)}$. In the base case, $\ell = 1$ we have $f_{\underline{b}}^{(\ell)} = c_{\underline{b}}$. A comparison between $f_{\underline{b}}^{(\ell)}$ and $f_{\underline{b}}^{(\ell-1)}$ yields that:

$$f_{\underline{a}}^{(\ell)} = f_{\underline{a}}^{(\ell-1)} + \sum_{|\underline{b}|=\ell} (-1)^{|\underline{b}-\underline{a}|} e_{\underline{b}} c_{\underline{b}-\underline{a}} \quad \text{and} \quad e_{\underline{b}} = f_{\underline{b}}^{(|\underline{b}|)}.$$

We may then conclude that:

$$f_{\underline{b}}^{(\ell)} = \sum_{|\underline{b}|\leq\ell} (-1)^{|\underline{b}-\underline{a}|} e_{\underline{b}} c_{\underline{b}-\underline{a}}.$$

As $c_{\underline{b}-\underline{a}} = 0$ unless $\underline{b} > \underline{a}$ we obtain:

$$e_{\underline{a}} = \sum_{\underline{a}\leq\underline{b}} (-1)^{|\underline{b}-\underline{a}|} e_{\underline{b}} c_{\underline{b}-\underline{a}}$$

The result now follows by induction. □

Remark 4.4. The proofs of the above theorem and corollary work formally when we replace $Q(x_1, \ldots, x_n; y_1, \ldots, y_n)$ by any other polynomial which is a multiplicative sequence in the y_i with respect to products of varieties and such that the x_j are 'functorial' with respect to restriction.

Remark 4.5. We should note that the contribution to the coefficient of $D^{\underline{b}}$ from $D^{\underline{b}'}$ for $\underline{b}' < \underline{b}$ is precisely:

$$d_{\underline{b}-\underline{b}'}$$

times the the coefficient that appeared with $D^{\underline{b}'}$. Viewing the $\underline{b}$ as a directed graph with weighted edges the quantity $d_{\underline{b}-\underline{b}'}$ is the number of paths from $\underline{b}'$ to $\underline{b}$.

Corollary 4.6. *In the notation of the theorem.*

$$\chi(X, \mathcal{F}) - \chi(X, \Delta, \mathcal{F}) = \sum_{\underline{b}\ \mathrm{MF}} (-1)^{|b|} d_{\underline{b}} \chi(D^{\underline{b}}, \mathcal{F}|_{D^{\underline{b}}}) + \sum_{\underline{b}\ \mathrm{NMF}} (-1)^{|b|} \tilde{d}_{\underline{b}} \chi(D^{\underline{b}}, \Delta', \mathcal{F}|_{D^{\underline{b}}}).$$

Proof. The argument is the same as above, except as we never expand out the NMF terms, we obtain only $\tilde{d}_{\underline{b}}$. □

5. Riemann-Hurwitz

In this section we establish our main result.

Theorem 5.1. *Consider $\pi : X \to Y$ a potentially ramified finite covering of degree μ between smooth projective varieties of dimension n. Let $\Delta(B)$ be the collection of irreducible components of the branch locus (on Y) and $\Delta(R) = \pi^{-1}(\Delta(B))$ be the collection of (reduced) irreducible components of the ramification locus (on X). Let $\mathcal{F}$ be any coherent sheaf on Y. Suppose that $\Delta(R)$ and $\Delta(B)$ consist of simple normal crossing divisors. We have that:*

$$\chi(X, \pi^*(\mathcal{F})) - \mu \cdot \chi(Y, \mathcal{F}) = \sum_{\underline{a}} (-1)^{|\underline{a}|} c_{\underline{a}} (E_{R^{\underline{a}}} - 1) \chi(R^{\underline{a}}, \Delta(R)', \pi^*(\mathcal{F})).$$

Proof. Firstly, by Theorem 4.1 we have:

$$\chi(X, \pi^*(\mathcal{F})) - \mu \cdot \chi(Y, \mathcal{F}) = \sum_{|\underline{a}|\geq 0} (-1)^{|a|} c_{\underline{a}} \chi(R^{\underline{a}}, \Delta(R)', \pi^*(\mathcal{F})|_{R^{\underline{a}}}) - \mu \left(\sum_{|\underline{b}|\geq 0} (-1)^{|b|} c_{\underline{b}} \chi(B^{\underline{b}}, \Delta', \mathcal{F}|_{B^{\underline{b}}}) \right).$$

Next, by Theorem 3.2 we have that:

$$\chi(X, \Delta(R), \pi^*(\mathcal{F}))) = \mu \cdot \chi(Y, \Delta(B), \mathcal{F}))$$

so that these terms cancel out in the above expression.

With the remaining terms we can naturally group together those terms involving $\underline{a}$ and those with $\pi(\underline{a}) = \underline{b}$ in the summation above. The error term arising from $\underline{a}$ in the expansion is:

$$(-1)^{|\underline{a}|}(\mu c_{\underline{b}} \chi(B^{\underline{b}}, \Delta(B)', \mathcal{F})) - \sum_{\pi(\underline{a})=\underline{b}} c_{\underline{a}} \chi(R^{\underline{a}}, \Delta(R)', \pi^*(\mathcal{F}))).$$

Next, we observe that:

$$\begin{aligned}\mu \cdot \chi(B^{\underline{b}}, \Delta(B)', \mathcal{F})) &= \mu(B^{\underline{b}} Q(\mathrm{ch}_j(\mathcal{F}); \mathrm{ch}_i(\Omega^i_Y(\log \Delta(B))))\\ &= \pi^*(B^{\underline{b}} Q(\mathrm{ch}_j(\mathcal{F}); \mathrm{ch}_i(\Omega^i_X(\log \Delta(B))))\\ &= \pi^*(B^{\underline{b}}) Q(\mathrm{ch}_j(\pi^*(\mathcal{F})); \mathrm{ch}_i(\Omega^i_X(\log \Delta(R)))).\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.14 we have that

$$\pi^*(B^{\underline{b}}) = \sum_{\pi(\underline{a})=\underline{b}} E_{R^{\underline{a}}} R^{\underline{a}}$$

and so we obtain:

$$\mu \cdot \chi(B^{\underline{b}}, \Delta(B)', \mathcal{F})) = \sum_{\pi(\underline{a})=\underline{b}} E_{R^{\underline{a}}} \chi(R^{\underline{a}}, \Delta(R)', \pi^*(\mathcal{F})).$$

Grouping the terms on $\underline{a}$ we now immediately see that the error contribution from $\underline{a}$ terms is:

$$(-1)^{|\underline{a}|} c_{\underline{a}} (E_{R^{\underline{a}}} - 1) \chi(R^{\underline{a}}, \Delta(R)', \pi^*(\mathcal{F}))).$$

Collecting these over all $\underline{a}$ we obtain the theorem. □

Corollary 5.2. *Consider $\pi : X \to Y$ a potentially ramified finite covering of degree μ between smooth projective varieties of dimension n. Let $\Delta(B)$ be the collection of irreducible components of the branch locus (on Y) and $\Delta(R) = \pi^{-1}(\Delta(B))$ be the collection of (reduced) irreducible components of the ramification locus (on X). Let $\mathcal{F}$ be any coherent sheaf on Y. Suppose that $\Delta(R)$ and $\Delta(B)$ consist of simple normal crossing divisors. Then the difference $\chi(X, \pi^*(\mathcal{F}))) - \mu \cdot \chi(Y, \mathcal{F})$ is equal to:*

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{\underline{a}\ \mathrm{MF}} \sum_{\underline{a}' < \underline{a}} (-1)^{|\underline{a}|} d_{\underline{a}-\underline{a}'} c_{\underline{a}'} (E_{R^{\underline{a}'}} - 1) \chi(R^{\underline{a}}, \pi^*(\mathcal{F})))\\ &\quad + \sum_{\underline{a}\ \mathrm{NMF}} \sum_{\underline{a}' < \underline{a}} (-1)^{|\underline{a}|} \tilde{d}_{\underline{a}', \underline{a}} c_{\underline{a}'} (E_{R^{\underline{a}'}} - 1) \chi(R^{\underline{a}}, \Delta(R)', \pi^*(\mathcal{F}))).\end{aligned}$$

Proof. The proof follows identically to that of Corollary 4.6. □

Remark 5.3. The key feature of the above is that all of the coefficients:

$$d_{\underline{a}},\ c_{\underline{a}},\ \text{and}\ \tilde{d}_{\underline{a},\underline{a}'}$$

are effectively universal. The other contribution:

$$E_{R^{\underline{a}}}$$

is purely geometric, related to the ramification at $R^{\underline{a}}$.

The contribution of the self intersection terms in the above is somewhat unsatisfying. In the next section we will give one method to view these as the Euler characteristics of the components of this self intersection.

6. Handling Self Intersections

The main result of this section concerns how to interpret the self logarithmic Euler characteristic when there are self intersection terms. In particular we will show that these can be viewed as a weighted sum of the Euler characteristics of the components of the self intersection. The expressions one obtains are 'non-canonical' but may be more amenable to computation depending on the context.

In order to carry out the procedure outlined here, one needs to have a good understanding of the Chow ring of your variety X and the ability to find 'good' relations for elements of the Chow ring. In particular one may need to construct a large number of relations consisting entirely of elements with simple normal crossings and moreover one would want the ability to compute the Euler characteristics of the various intersections that arise between any of these elements.

The reason we need an alternate approach is that though ideally we would be able to write:

$$D^\ell \operatorname{ch}_i(\Omega_X(\log D)) = \operatorname{ch}_i(\Omega_{D^\ell}),$$

this is simply not true if $\ell > 1$. In order to handle this, we must have sufficient information about D so as to be able to actually compute D^ℓ.

In particular we will need to make use of relations:

$$D \sim \sum_i x_i E_i$$

with the E_i not being equal to any other divisor already in use, and with the total collection E_i, D, and every other divisor in use, having simple normal crossings.

Lemma 6.1. *Let X be a smooth projective variety and let $\mathcal{F}$ be any coherent sheaf on X. Suppose Δ is a collection of smooth divisors with simple normal crossings on X. Fix $D \in \Delta$ and a relation*

$$D \sim \sum_{i \in I} x_i E_i$$

with simple normal crossings as above. We may rewrite:

$$D \underline{a} D^\ell Q_m(\operatorname{ch}_r(\mathcal{F}); \operatorname{ch}_p(\Omega_X(\log \Delta)))$$

as:

$$D \underline{a} D^{\ell-1} \sum_{k=1}^{m} (-1)^{k-1} c_{1^{k-1}} \sum_i x_i E_i^k Q_{m-k+1}(\operatorname{ch}_r(\mathcal{F}); \operatorname{ch}_p(\log \Delta \cdot E_i)).$$

Where $c_{1^{k-1}}$ is the coefficient of $\operatorname{ch}_1(\Omega^1_X)^{k-1}$ in $Q_{k-1}(\operatorname{ch}_r(\mathcal{F}); \operatorname{ch}_p(\Omega_X))$.

Proof. This follows immediately by a comparison between

$$Q_m(\operatorname{ch}_r(\mathcal{F}); \operatorname{ch}_p(\Omega_X(\log \Delta))) \qquad \text{and} \qquad Q_m(\operatorname{ch}_r(\mathcal{F}); \operatorname{ch}_p(\Omega_X(\log \Delta \cdot E_i)))$$

as in Theorem 4.1. □

Lemma 6.2. *Let X be a smooth projective variety and let $\mathcal{F}$ be any coherent sheaf on X. Suppose Δ is a collection of smooth divisors with simple normal crossings on X.*

Suppose we have sufficiently many rules in the Chow ring of X of the form:

$$(a) \qquad D_j \sim \sum_{i \in I_{ja}} x_i E_i$$

$$(b) \qquad E_j \sim \sum_{i \in I_{jb}} x_i E_i$$

for some universal family of shared indicies $I = \sqcup I_{ja}$, and some divisors E_i. With total collection D_i, E_j having simple normal crossings.

We may rewrite:

$$D \underline{a} D^\ell Q_m(\operatorname{ch}_r(\mathcal{F}); \operatorname{ch}_p(\Omega_X(\log \Delta)))$$

As a weighted sum of terms:

$$D^{\tilde{\underline{a}}}E^{\underline{b}}Q_{n-|\tilde{\underline{a}}|-|\underline{b}|}(\mathrm{ch}_r(\mathcal{F});\mathrm{ch}_p(\log\Delta\cdot E^{\underline{b}}))$$

with $b_i \leq 1$.

Proof. The key is to inductively apply the previous lemma.

We observe that at each application of the lemma we produce new terms of the form:

$$D^{\underline{x}}E^{\underline{y}}Q_{n-|\underline{x}|-|\underline{y}|}.$$

However, each new term introduced either satisfies:

(1) The number of self intersections has been decreased, or
(2) The subscript on Q_m has decreased.

It follows that the inductive process terminates provided we have sufficiently many rules to carry it out to completion. □

Remark 6.3. The term:

$$D^{\tilde{\underline{a}}}E^{\underline{b}}Q_m(\mathrm{ch}_r(\mathcal{F});\mathrm{ch}_p(\log\Delta\cdot E^{\underline{b}}))$$

is computing the logarithmic Euler characteristic on $D^{\underline{a}}E^{\underline{b}}$.

Proposition 6.4. *In the setting of the Lemma, the coefficient of*

$$D^{\tilde{\underline{a}}}E^{\underline{b}}Q_m(\mathrm{ch}_r(\mathcal{F});\mathrm{ch}_p(\log\Delta\cdot E^{\underline{b}}))$$

in the formal expansion of

$$D^{\underline{a}}Q_m(\mathrm{ch}_r(\mathcal{F});\mathrm{ch}_p(\Omega_X(\log\Delta)))$$

is:

$$\prod_i (x_i^{b_i}c_{1^{y_i}})$$

where

$$y_j = \left|\underset{z}{\cup} I_{jz}\cap\underline{b}\right|,$$

that is we look at all of the rules for the expansion of E_j*, and count how many must have been used.*

Proof. The appearance of the $\prod_i x_i^{b_i}c_{1^{y_i}}$ is apparent from the lemma, as these are precisely the terms that appear when we apply it. The only question is the computation of y_i based on the shape of $\underline{b}$. One readily checks the given formula. □

Remark 6.5. All of the terms that might appear are computing a weighted sum of the Euler characteristics for some natural components of $D^{\underline{x}}$ for some choices of $\underline{x}$. It is worth noting that the coefficient $\prod_i x_i^{b_i}$ is somehow related to (and in some cases equal to) the coefficient that would have appeared had we been computing the self intersection whereas the coefficient $\prod_i c_{1^{y_i}}$ is somehow universal in a similar manner to the similar coefficient appearing in previous sections.

The only information we still lack about our expansion is which $E^{\underline{b}}$ actually appear. This depends on choices made during the inductive process, however, if one orders the rules one can obtain a systematic result. The following proposition is an immediate consequence of the inductive process.

Proposition 6.6. *Carrying out the inductive procedure as above, if the rules:*

$$(a)\qquad D_j \sim \sum_{i\in I_{ja}} x_iE_i$$

$$(b)\qquad E_j \sim \sum_{i\in I_{jb}} x_iE_i$$

are ordered by (a) *and* (b) *and we always select the first rule which does not conflict with choices already made then the collection* $E^{\underline{b}}$ *which appear in the expansion are precisely those which satisfy:*

(1) $|\underline{b}\cap I_{jc}| = 0, 1$.

(2) *For each* D_j*, the number of* a *for which* $|\underline{b} \cap I_{ja}| = 1$ *is* $a_j - 1$.
(3) $|\underline{b} \cap I_{jc}| = 1$ *and* $c > 0$ *implies* $|\underline{b} \cap I_{jc-1}| = 1$.
(4) $|\underline{b}| \leq n - |\tilde{\underline{a}}|$.

Remark 6.7. We have thus shown that the error terms arising from self intersections can be viewed as logarithmic Euler characteristics of components of the self intersection. By performing further induction as in Corollary 4.3 we could replace these with the actual Euler characteristics of the same components of the self intersections, simply with different weights.

However we should note, the choice of components and consequently the resulting expression one would obtain depends on choices of 'rules' in the Chow ring.

7. Conclusions and Further Questions

The result we have obtained gives a natural generalization of the Riemann-Hurwitz results to the setting of the algebraic Euler characteristic. As a direct consequence of the fact that the multiplicative sequence in this case is more complicated than for the standard Euler characteristic the resulting formula is combinatorially more intricate. It is natural to ask if the coefficients which appear, for example $d_{\underline{a}-\underline{a}'}$ and $c_{\underline{a}}$ have a natural combinatorial interpretation.

Moreover, despite the fact that virtually all of our arguments are formal consequences of exact sequences, we still make assumptions on the smoothness of irreducible components. It is natural to ask to what extent this is actually necessary and whether a virtually identical formula would hold in a more general context.

Acknowledgements

This work was primarily conducted while I was a Fields Postdoctoral researcher at Queen's University. I would like to thank Prof. Mike Roth at Queen's University for teaching me many of the tools needed in this work as well as for his help improving this manuscript.

References

[Ful98] William Fulton, *Intersection theory*, second ed., Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics], vol. 2, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998. MR 1644323 (99d:14003)

[Iza03] Takeshi Izawa, *Note on the Riemann-Hurwitz type formula for multiplicative sequences*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **131** (2003), no. 11, 3583–3588 (electronic). MR 1991772 (2004f:57033)

[Mum77] D. Mumford, *Hirzebruch's proportionality theorem in the noncompact case*, Invent. Math. **42** (1977), 239–272. MR 471627 (81a:32026)

[Tsu80] Ryuji Tsushima, *A formula for the dimension of spaces of Siegel cusp forms of degree three*, Amer. J. Math. **102** (1980), no. 5, 937–977. MR 590640 (81m:10050)

E-mail address: andrew.fiori@ucalgary.ca

Mathematics & Statistics 612 Campus Place N.W. University of Calgary 2500 University Drive NW Calgary, AB, Canada T2N 1N4